



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,789	11/26/2003	Arno Jan Bleeker	081468-0306887	5093
909	7590	10/11/2005	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,789	BLEEKER, ARNO JAN
	Examiner Daborah Chacko-Davis	Art Unit 1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03, 07/04</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 11-13, 15, 18-19, 22-25, 27-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 5,998,069 (Cutter et al).

Cutter, in col 6, lines 9-67, in col 7, lines 1-11, and lines 25-50, in col 8, lines 1-32, in col 10, lines 15-64, discloses a photolithography system that uses an electronically controlled mask to manufacture integrated circuits, comprising an illumination source that projects a beam onto the photoresist coated substrate via a programmable patterning structure (electronically controlled mask), wherein the mask comprises a first layer of electro-optical material, a second layer of electro-optical material, providing a plurality of electrodes to apply a voltage to the electro-optical layer such that the light reflected or absorbed is polarized, each pixel (region of the mask) is configured to attenuate and cause a phase shift the radiation incident on the region (claims 1-2, 4, 11-12, 15, 18-19, 21-25, 27-28). Cutter, in col 7, lines 1-11, and in col 8, lines 8-25, discloses that the electronically controlled mask includes a reflective layer (claims 6, and 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3, 5, 9, 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,998,069 (Cutter et al) in view of U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0076423 (Dolgoff).

Cutter is discussed in paragraph no. 2.

The difference between the claims and Cutter is that Cutter does not disclose that the electro-optical material is formed from the materials recited in claims 3, 5, 9, and 14.

Dolgoff, in [0010], discloses that the electro-optical materials (LCD) include potassium dihydrogen phosphate.

Therefore, it would be obvious to replace the electro-optical material of electronically controllable mask with the material suggested by Dolgoff because Dolgoff, in [0010], discloses that using potassium dihydrogen phosphate crystals in the LCD enables effects such as rotation of the polarization plane, altering the refractive index upon electric field applications.

Art Unit: 1756

5. Claims 7-8, 16, 20, and 26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,998,069 (Cutter et al) in view of U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0112824 (Ballard et al).

Cutter is discussed in paragraph no. 2.

Cutter, in col 7, lines 1-11, and in col 8, lines 8-25, discloses that the electronically controlled mask includes a reflective layer, that reflects the radiation incident on the layer (claim 8).

The difference between the claims and Cutter is that Cutter does not disclose an actuator configured to adjust the position of the electro-optical material in a direction parallel to the beam of the radiation incident on the pixel element (claims 7, 16, 20, 26).

Ballard, in [0007], discloses an actuator means that is coupled to support of the patterning device (mask) in order to enable the adjustment of the patterning device in the direction of the optical axis (parallel to the incident beam of radiation).

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Cutter by employing the actuator means suggested by Ballard because Ballard, in [0008], discloses that the actuator means enables automated time intervals without manual user input, and can be retracted during operation.

6. Claims 10, and 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,998,069 (Cutter et al) in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,682,214 (Amako et al)

Cutter is discussed in paragraph no. 2.

The difference between the claims and Cutter is that Cutter does not disclose

using a polarizing filter to attenuate the radiation outgoing from the pixel elements (claims 10, and 17).

Amako, in col 4, lines 54-67, discloses using polarizing plates in close proximity to the LCD (electronically controlled mask) inorder to attenuate the radiation incident on the LCD.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Cutter by employing the polarizing filters suggested by Amako because Cutter, in col 7, lines 1-13, discloses that the light incident on the mask is polarized, and Amako, in col 4, lines 57-60, discloses that the polarizing plates assures the obtaining of the smallest possible phase shifts.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you

Art Unit: 1756

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dcd

[Handwritten signature]

October 3, 2005.



JOHN A. MCPHERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER